Reimagine CE Workgroup Phase II #9

January 26, 2024

Illustration by Raffi Marhaba, The Dream Creative

Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Workgroup Timeline
3. Prescreen Development
4. Full Assessment

Reimagine CE Workgroup Timeline (Updated)

September 8, 2023	Decision-making process; Approach to develop a new assessment
October 13, 2023	Objectives for change statement; Indicators of success
October 27, 2023	Indicators of success; Primary data source
November 10, 2023	3rd party-data or self-reporting; Interim approach; Prescreen
November 24, 2023	No meeting- Thanksgiving
December 8, 2023	Prescreen Development (I)
December 22, 2023	Prescreen Development (II)
January 12, 2024	Prescreen Development (III)
January 26, 2024	**Full Assessment (I)**
February 9, 2024	Full Assessment (II), Length of homelessness
February 23, 2024	PSH chronic homeless documentation requirement
March 8, 2024	Implementation Plan (I)
March 22, 2024	Implementation Plan (II)

Draft RRH & PSH Prescreen Review

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1XI4s0S9fhP1XISYiYZs3vMjyl8sRMbQ2slbdCKxCl Rw/edit?usp=sharing

Important Notes

- **We are not recommending to use the Great Lakes (State of Wisconsin plus a few other CoCs) for # of enrollments** because of the difference in how enrollments are used in different communities.
- **Only individuals with an active homeless services enrollment (excluding CE entry) at the time of running the report will appear on the prescreen report.** This alteration ensures that the prescreen is targeting individuals actively engaged in homeless services but is a significant change from the current system.
- During implementation phase, **we would need to address data quality and problem-solve.** For instance, during our test run, we encountered a case where a family appeared on the prescreen report but was already housed. It happened because a street outreach program still had an active enrollment. (To mitigate this, we can explore the implementation of auto exits for shelter and outreach. We will also request adding a "currently housed" column in the prescreen report.)
- We still need to work on incorporating DV data points.

Discussion: Are we targeting the right group for RRH? What about PSH?

1. There is a concern that the proposed prescreen is not effective in differentiating households better suited for RRH and those for PSH. There appears to be a lot of overlap in who scores high on the hx of homelessness questions we chose for RRH (12-month utilization) and PSH (36-month utilization) such that it doesn't meaningfully differentiate between two populations.

2. At the last meeting, it was brought up that we need to consider the impact of prioritizing people with criminal justice system involvement for housing programs (e.g., damage to properties).

Some ideas

1. **Ask the participant what they think they need**

    Q: What do you believe is needed to obtain permanent housing for your household? Please choose one option that best fits your current situation.

    None (I just need some time); light-touch case management (housing search assistance); short-term assistance (move-in cost assistance along with housing search assistance); medium-term assistance (up to 24 months of rental subsidy along with housing search and stabilization services); long-term assistance (more than 24 months of long-term rental subsidy along with housing search and stabilization services)

    *Some concerns: Difficulty getting an accurate answer. Intake staff should be able to explain what it means and what it implies. Relying on one question too heavily. Participants may choose an option based on perceived opportunities, even if it's not most accurate response.

2. **For RRH, flip the # number of enrollments points such that a low number scores high but keep # months the same**
3. **Use the length of homelessness or chronic homelessness status** to distinguish individuals eligible for prescreening for RRH from those suited for prescreening for PSH
4. **Other ideas?**